



# REWARD

REal World Advanced Technologies for Diesel Engines

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Horizon 2020

H2020-MG-2014-2015

GA No. 636380



<b>Deliverable No.</b>	REWARD D2.6	
<b>Deliverable Title</b>	Exhaust Thermal Management Testing	
<b>Deliverable Type</b>	REPORT	
<b>Dissemination level</b>	Confidential – member only (CO)	
<b>Written By</b>	Andrew Auld (RIC) Matthew Heaney (RIC)	
<b>Status</b>	<b>Final</b>	
<b>Checked by</b>	Marco Tonetti (CRF) WP2 Leader	2017-04-05
<b>Submitted to Executive Board</b>	Andrew Auld (RIC) WP2 Submitted to all members of the Executive Board	2017-04-05
<b>Approved by Executive Board (EB)</b>	Approved and accepted by all members of Executive Board	2017-04-26

H2020-MG-2014-2015 – 636380 – REal World Advanced Technologies for Diesel Engines

**Acknowledgement:**

The author(s) would like to thank the partners in the project for their valuable comments on previous drafts and for performing the review.

**Project partners:**

- 1 - AVL - AVL List GmbH - AT
- 2 - REN - Renault SAS - FR
- 3 - VCC - Volvo Car Corporation - SE
- 4 - CRF - CRF SCpA - IT
- 5 - CNRIM - Istituto Motori – Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR) - IT
- 6 - JM - Johnson Matthey Plc - UK
- 7 - RIC - Ricardo Plc - UK
- 8 - SCF - Schaeffler AG - DE
- 9 - LMM - Le Moteur Moderne - FR
- 10 - DELPHI - Delphi Automotive Systems Luxembourg S.A. - LU
- 11 - UNR - Uniresearch BV - NL
- 12 - IFPEN - IFP Energies Nouvelles - FR
- 13 - VIF - Virtual Vehicle Research Center - AT
- 14 - CTH - Chalmers Tekniska Högskola - SE
- 15 - CTU - Czech Technical University - CZ
- 16 - UPVLC - Universitat Politècnica de Valencia – Motores Termicos – ES

**Disclaimer:**

*This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 636380.*



## Publishable Executive summary

Recognising that real-world NO<sub>x</sub> emissions have not decreased at the same rate as the legislated light-duty diesel (LDD) passenger car tailpipe emissions (from Euro 1 to Euro 6) the European Union is introducing the Real Driving Emissions (RDE) legislation with the aim to ensure real world and urban NO<sub>x</sub> emissions reduction. As part of Work Package 2 within the REWARD project exhaust thermal management strategies have been evaluated with the aim to improve the aftertreatment efficiencies. This report details the multi-cylinder steady-state engine testing activities within task 2.2. The main activity of this task was to evaluate exhaust thermal management (ETM) technologies and strategies, and the effect on the aftertreatment system.

Following simulation both exhaust variable valve timing and early exhaust valve opening systems were taken forward to the multi-cylinder engine testing phase for a full evaluation of the optimum approach to exhaust thermal management. Traditional in-cylinder strategies such as multiple fuel injections, combustion retard and charge mass reduction were also considered, separately and in combination. For initial temperature gain at the keypoints tested the current approach to exhaust thermal management (without exhaust valve modulation) was seen as preferable – there was no fuel consumption benefit from advancing the exhaust valve timing or increasing the valve curtain area. Whereas, for maximum temperature gain within engine stability and smoke emissions limitations, exhaust valve phasing or exhaust valve opening advance when twinned with in-cylinder approaches is preferable compared to the baseline engine build approach to exhaust heating. The higher in-cylinder residuals from variable exhaust valve timing were shown to aid temperature uplift at low loads and speeds as the residual gas is hotter than external EGR when targeting the same NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. At higher load and speed the additional in-cylinder residuals and the subsequent effect on volumetric efficiency impacts the extent of combustion retard and mass flow reduction that can be achieved for temperature uplift.

Drive cycle simulation has shown the benefit of exhaust thermal management for improving cycle NO<sub>x</sub> emissions with a subsequent fuel consumption penalty. Variable exhaust valve timing was seen to improve the cycle NO<sub>x</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> trade-off for a DOC+aSCRf system when high levels of NO<sub>x</sub> reduction are required compared to an in-cylinder approach for exhaust heating. Over the WLTC there is a 1.4% improvement in CO<sub>2</sub> when targeting a 22% reduction in cycle NO<sub>x</sub>. Simulation of an LNT+aSCRf aftertreatment system has shown limited sensitivity to the exhaust thermal management approach.

For the DOC+aSCRf aftertreatment system an exhaust variable valve timing system was shown to result in the lowest CO<sub>2</sub> penalty compared to other exhaust thermal management approaches with a relatively low system oncost. It was seen within the testing and simulation tasks that the early exhaust valve opening system was not as effective as variable exhaust valve timing and that the electrically heated catalyst approach is hampered by electrical system losses. Owing to the reduced urban environment NO<sub>x</sub> slip risk compared to the DOC system event with variable exhaust valve timing, and the CO<sub>2</sub> benefit from reduced exhaust thermal management requirement the recommendation for the WP2 application is LNT+aSCRf with in-cylinder ETM.